CRITERIA FOR THE EVALUATION OF TENDERS

1. The price indicated in the tender for the object of the contract shall be considered to be abnormally low if it appears abnormally low and is in all cases considered abnormally low if it is 30 per cent or more lower than the arithmetic average of the price offered by all suppliers whose tenders have not been rejected for other reasons and whose tender does not exceed the funds allocated for the procurement as determined and recorded in the documents drawn up by the contracting authority before the start of the procurement procedure.
2. The order of proposals shall be drawn up in descending order of value for money. In cases where the tenders of several suppliers will have the same value for money, the supplier whose tender was previously submitted shall be entered in the ranking of tenders in the ranking of tenders.
3. Criteria for the evaluation of tenders:

# OpenEHR software implementation, configuration, and training services

1 table. Criteria for evaluating the proposal

| Evaluation criteria | Number of points awarded | Comparative meaning of the criterion | Questions for market consultation participants: |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criterion 1 is price (C)**  The price of the tender in euro shall be assessed. |  | **X = 70** | Is the weighting of the price not too low/too high? Please substantiate your answer  ANSWER: |
| **Criterion 2:** Additional experience of an OpenEHR expert proposed by the supplier  It is assessed on the basis of the additional experience of the OpenEHR expert proposed by the supplier over the past 7 years (not counting the qualification requirements) in the implementation of projects (contracts), development of information systems on the basis of OpenEHR.  For 1 executed contract, **15 points** are awarded.  For 2 executed contracts, **30 points** are awarded.  If no contracts are submitted, 0 points are awarded. | Minimum: 0 points  Maximum: 30 points | **Y1 = 30** | 1) By participating in the future procurement process, could you offer such additional experience and document it?  ANSWER:  2) Do you consider that the link does not restrict competition, is not too specific and adequately corresponds to the qualifications of the specified specialist?  ANSWER:  3) Isn't the weighting factor too low/too high? Please substantiate your answer.  ANSWER: |
| **NOTES:**   * The development of an information system involves the creation of a new information system or a new registry or the modernization/improvement/development/configuration of an existing information system or register, when it is necessary to create new functions in an information system or register or to change the information processing processes implemented. However, this does not include maintenance and support of an information system or registry, which includes only the correction of errors and the elimination of malfunctions. * Experience shall be assessed on the basis of completed projects, contracts or employment contracts, unless the expert has fully fulfilled all the obligations under the contract. Periods of several projects/contracts/employment contracts that take place simultaneously are not cumulated. Experience is calculated by combining the duration of projects / contracts / employment contracts in months before the corresponding number of years - an incomplete month is considered a full month. * If the supplier relies on the same contract both to prove qualifications and to substantiate additional experience, this contract will not be counted as additional experience and will not be awarded scoring points. * The specialist who is being assessed must be the same person on whom the supplier relies (or will rely) on in order to demonstrate compliance with the qualification requirements specified in the Terms and Conditions of Purchase, unless otherwise provided for or cannot be ensured, for example, additional or new specialists are evaluated. | | | |

1. The supplier will be awarded the maximum number of points only if the supplier offers a specialist with the established additional experience, which is confirmed by the **client‘s reference** (reference no. X of the Annex to the Special Procurement Conditions), and this reference clearly proves compliance with the requirements for the evaluation of tenders set out in the Terms and Conditions of Purchase
2. NOTE: The criteria for evaluating tenders must be met by the supplier or at least one member of the group of economic operators if the specialist of this group of economic operators will actually provide services under the contract. The supplier may also use the services of another economic operator to meet this criterion, provided that the specialist of that economic operator actually provides services under the contract. If the supplier submits (1) the documents of the subcontractor for the implementation of this criterion, (2) the documents of the economic entity whose qualifications are not relied on, or (3) the documents of the economic entity whose qualifications are relied on or a member of a group of economic operators, but the specialists of these economic entities will not participate in the performance of the contract and /or will not actually provide services under the contract, he will be awarded 0 points.
3. The cost-effectiveness will be calculated according to the Telgen (absolute) formula prepared by the Public Procurement Office, which was partially completed by the contracting authority on the basis of the contract documents (a calculator is attached). According to this formula, the bid with the highest score will be recognized as the winner. Offers that exceed PSetMax will be rated negatively for the price. If the price of the offer is equal to PSetMax, the bid will be awarded 0 points for the price, and offers with a price approaching PSetMin will be awarded an increasing number of positive points. Bids that are priced below PSetMin will be assigned a higher number of points than weight. The contracting authority sets the PSetMin at 0 and PSetMax equals the planned contract value in euro including VAT.
4. Proposals will be evaluated in euro. If the price or remuneration is indicated in a foreign currency, it will be converted into euro according to the euro and foreign exchange reference rate published by the European Central Bank, and in cases where the European Central Bank does not publish this rate, according to the euro and foreign exchange reference rate established and published by the Bank of Lithuania on the last day of the deadline for the submission of tenders.

**Any other business:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **ANY OTHER BUSINESS** | | **ANSWERS:** |
|  | In your opinion, what are the requirements for the evaluation of tenders that should be imposed on suppliers intending to participate in the procurement? **Please explain your answer.** |  |
|  | Your other suggestions and observations regarding the requirements for the evaluation of proposals. |  |
|  | Will it be possible to indicate the reference of experience of the clients of all the requirements for the evaluation of proposals? |  |